

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11

12 LIONEL MITCHELL,) No. CV 13-8531-JFW(CW)
13 Plaintiff,)
14 v.) ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
15 JOHANNES HAAR, et. al.,) RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES
16 Defendants.) MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17 _____)

18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has reviewed the
19 entire record in this action, as well as the Report and Recommendation
20 of the United States Magistrate Judge. The court has engaged in a de
21 novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to
22 which objection has been made. The court accepts the findings and
23 recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that
24 judgment be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.
25

26 DATED: May 29, 2014

27
28 
JOHN F. WALTER
United States District Judge